30

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR
WRIT PETITION (S) No. 3436 of 2007

PETITIONERS

1. Shravan Kumar Sahu, Aged about 44 years, S/o. Shri Munni Ram Sahu, Assistant Teacher, Primary School, Korampara, Block Narharpur,
2. Lachchu Ram Mandavi, Aged about 47 years, S/o. Shri Kanwal Singh Mandavi, Assistant Teacher, Primary School, Bansagar, Block Narharpur
3. Pawan Kumar Chakradhari, Aged about 47 years, S/o. Prem Pal Chakradhari, Assistant Teacher, Primary School, Musurpuha, Block Narharpur
4. Shyam Kumar Netam, Aged about 45 years, S/o. Shri Bhav Singh Netam, Assistant Teacher, Primary School, Anjani, Block Kanker
5. Narad Ram Thakur, Aged 48 years, S/o Shri Faguram Thakur, Assistant Teacher, Primary School, Karwa, Block Narharpur,

All are at Distt. Kanker (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, School Education Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur, (C.G.)
2. The Director, Public Instruction, Raipur (C.G.)
3. District Education Officer, Kanker District Kanker (C.G.)
4. Block Education Officer, Kanker (C.G.)
5. Block Education Officer, Narharpur, Kanker (C.G.)
6. Treasury & Funds Department, Kanker (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

Single Bench : Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri**

Present: Mr. D.N. Prajapati, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Arvind Dubey, Panel lawyer for the respondents/State.

---

(31)

ORDER
(Passed on 22nd day of June, 2007)

1. The question of law involved herein is asto whether the petitioners are entitled to regular pay scale w.e.f. the date of initial appointment or from the date of completion of probation period.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as for the respondents/State jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present writ petition are the same to that of in W.P. (S) No. 5976/2006 (**Sushil Tiwari and others vs. State Government of Chhattisgarh and others**), which was allowed vide order dated 7.11.2006.

3. In view of the above-stated submissions made by learned counsel appearing for the parties, the writ petition is allowed in the same terms. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Karuna